

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COMPARISON AND EVALUATION
OF INVESTMENT PORTFOLIOS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to a method and system for
comparing and evaluating investment portfolios. More
particularly, the present invention relates to a method and
system for evaluating the performance of investment
portfolios, such as pension funds, profit sharing funds or
10 mutual funds, of securities such as common stocks or
corporate bonds, based on fundamental performance measures
commonly applied to individual securities.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

15 Fundamental analysis of a company's financial statements
is a methodology used to analyze the performance of
securities, especially stock. Financial data made available
in company disclosures generally serve as the basis for the
fundamental analysis. For example, these financial data can
be extracted from financial statements such as 10-Ks and 10-
20 Qs. These statements are reported based on fiscal years and
fiscal quarters. The financial data can be entered into
various formulae in order to gauge the performance of a
company's underlying business.

25 Stock databases containing the financial data are
commercially available. The financial data associated with a
specific company are usually referenced by a CUSIP number.
CUSIP numbers, operated by Standard & Poor's for the American
Bankers Association, establish a standardized system for
identifying financial instruments, for example, the stock of

all registered U.S. and Canadian companies and U.S. government and municipal bonds.

Not until recently were databases that identify all of the stocks of a mutual fund by CUSIP number made commercially available. The CUSIP number allows the financial data in a stock database to be associated with the respective stock in a mutual fund in a fund database.

As with individual securities, analytic methods can be used to measure the overall performance of a mutual fund or other financial portfolio. Traditional analyses of mutual funds measure performance are based upon price changes and volatility. For example, with respect to the analyses of mutual funds, references to total return represent a fund's gains over a specified period of time. Total return includes both income (in the form of dividends or interest payments) and capital gains or losses (the increase or decrease in the value of a security). Commercial providers of investment information, for example Morningstar, Inc. of Chicago, Illinois, calculate total return by taking the change in a fund's net asset value, assuming the reinvestment of all income and capital-gains distributions (on the actual reinvestment date used by the fund) during the period, and then dividing by the initial net asset value.

Unless marked as load-adjusted total returns, conventional commercial analyses of mutual funds do not adjust total return for sales charges or for redemption fees. (e.g., Morningstar Return, Morningstar Risk-Adjusted Ratings, and the load-adjusted returns do incorporate those fees.) Total returns do account for management, administrative, and 12b-1 fees and other costs automatically deducted from fund assets.

These conventional mutual fund analyses do not, however, use methods that resemble the fundamental analysis techniques used in fundamental analysis. For example, there are analyses of mutual funds which measure performance based on price changes and volatility measures. There also are analyses which list the top ten (or some other small number) stocks (as measured by market value). Yet other mutual fund analyses measure industry or sector overlap among funds (e.g., the percent in technology in fund A versus the percent in technology in fund B). There also are systems which compare the securities in investment portfolios to determine overlap. However, these performance measurements of mutual funds do not accurately reflect the overall or cumulative fundamental analyses of the individual stocks of the portfolio.

Thus, there is a need for a system and method that evaluates the performance of a mutual fund, or other investment portfolio, that uses the financial data available for the individual stocks within the portfolio.

Buyside Research of Darien, Connecticut has developed a computer program (referred to herein as the "Stock System") including text and screen displays which graphically compare the performance of one company with its six closest competitors using fundamental financial data. In June of 1999, these graphic comparisons became commercially available over the Multex system (www.multex.com), which now distributes "Wall Street" research to more than two million users. The present invention combines unique processes of this Stock System with other unique processes in order to aggregate the stock measures for mutual funds or investment portfolios.

Because financial measures and aggregate financial measures of companies are being compared, special processes must be employed to insure that these comparisons are made over similar time periods and are as inclusive as possible.

5 The present invention features unique processes to improve comparability and inclusiveness.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, a method and system are provided wherein a fundamental analysis is performed on the stocks in a financial portfolio, such as a mutual fund or pension fund, to measure the performance of the portfolio. First, the data used to perform the analysis are extracted from a securities (e.g., stock) database that contains information from, for example, company disclosures. A first comparison process filters out any financial data from companies that have fiscal years that are not approximately one year in length. A second comparison process is then executed to convert the data for any fiscal quarter/year period into a comparable calendar quarter/year period. Once these two processes (collectively, "fiscal realignment") are completed, "fundamental measures" for each company are calculated based on formulae commonly used throughout the investment community. These could include, but are not limited to, sales growth rates, earnings per share growth rates, debt:equity ratios, and other measures. The fundamental measures used in the present invention use rates and ratios derived from two values ("base values"): a beginning value and an ending value (in the case of compound annual growth rates); or a numerator and denominator (in the case of quarter-to-quarter earnings per cent changes). Since

there is a possibility that one of these base values can be negative, the present invention uniquely uses these two base values, rather than a derived rate or ratio, to attain "negative base number inclusions."

5 Each week, new data are provided for the securities database and the funds database to update underlying financial data and fund holdings. Fund data are lists of the most recent information about mutual funds and their stocks; there are, for example, approximately four thousand equity mutual
10 funds for which information is available. The holdings of a mutual fund can be associated with the underlying financial data for each holding using, for example, the CUSIP number, now available in stock and fund databases. The CUSIP number used in both databases provides the link between the
15 databases.

Weights for each stock in each portfolio can be calculated based on the market value of that stock divided by the total market value of the stocks in each portfolio (e.g.,
20 the market value of any one stock is divided by the market value of all stocks). Since there is a possibility that one of the base numbers can be negative, the weights are multiplied by the base numbers (e.g., from the stock database) of each stock that have been subject to fiscal realignment.
25 Summing the products of these multiplications allows for negative base number inclusion and aggregated values for the fundamental measures. Any fundamental measure listed in Table 1 below can be aggregated. For example, an aggregate EPS growth rate can be produced for an investment portfolio.

30

With these aggregate fundamental measures, portfolio performance can be measured using the same fundamental benchmarks which are widely employed for common stock analyses. Measures deemed essential for fundamental analysis of one stock are presumed to be at least as valid for a group of stocks. By employing fiscal realignment, the system and method according to an embodiment of the present invention provide results which are more comparable than any other portfolio aggregates which exist today. By employing the unique negative base number inclusions process, the results are far more inclusive than any other existing evaluation approaches.

Additional objects and advantages of the present invention will be set forth in the description which follows. The objects and advantages of the invention may be realized and obtained by means of the instrumentalities and combinations particularly pointed out in the appended claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of the specification, illustrate an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 1 illustrates an exemplary series of operations performed by computer software for evaluating an investment portfolio according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 illustrates an exemplary analysis of an investment portfolio according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 3 illustrates a system for evaluating an investment portfolio according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

5 Figure 1 illustrates an exemplary method for transforming data from stock portfolios or mutual funds into a format suitable for fundamental analyses according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

10 For example, the process starts when the Stock System creates a securities database for the Fund System. For the purposes of discussion herein, processing of the underlying financial data for a company is referred to as being performed by a "Stock System" and the use of the processed data to evaluate a portfolio (e.g., a collection of stocks) is
15 referred to as being performed by a "Fund System." It should be understood that the Stock System and the Fund System can be implemented as separate systems (e.g., separate computer systems) or can be separate processes carried out by a particular computer system.

20 The Stock System accesses a suitable commercially available database containing the desired underlying financial data. For example, at 1010 the process begins with accessing a commercially available database of information from 10-Ks and 10-Qs. Examples of these databases are Standard and
25 Poor's *Compustat* and Market Guide's *Investment Manager*. At 1020, the desired financial information can be extracted from company disclosures such as balance sheets, income statements, and cash flow statements contained in the database. Specific data taken from a balance sheet (and stored in the financial

data database) can include, but are not limited to, liquid assets, investments, inventories, fixed assets, intangible assets, short-term liabilities, long-term debt, and leases. Specific data taken from an income statement can include, but are not limited to, sales, expenses, and net income. Specific data taken from a cash flow statement can include, but are not limited to, cash receipts and cash payments.

At 1020, the relevant data for each company are extracted from the database. In an exemplary embodiment, the data for all companies in the database are used while in alternative embodiments, the data for only particular companies of interest can be used. For example, weekly batch processing of financial data for numerous companies can be performed or real-time processing of financial data for particular companies can be performed based on the needs or desires of the user.

The extracted financial data form base numbers used to derive fundamental investment measures; for example, the extracted data could include earnings per share ("EPS") for every quarter/year and assets for every quarter-end/year-end. The data for each company are identified within the database using a unique CUSIP number as is known in the art. The database at 1010 contains, for example, historical quarterly/annual data dating back ten years or more. As is known in the art, these commercially available financial databases are updated daily or weekly and include the financial histories for more than ten thousand companies.

At 1030, the first part of the fiscal realignment process according to an embodiment of the present invention is initiated on the data set accessed or extracted from the

financial database. The purpose of this first comparison process is, for example, to eliminate companies that do not have comparable lengths of time in their fiscal years. For example, a company may not have enough historical financial information for a particular time period, such as five years or one year, because of mergers or divestitures, or the company may not have been in existence for the desired time period.

Maximum and minimum limits should be established for the fiscal years to provide a meaningful financial analysis. If, for example, a company with a December fiscal year-end begins business in June, its first year of business will be a six month period -- not a twelve month period. To compare that company's sales with another company which was in business for the entire twelve month period of that year would be inappropriate. Because companies are compared over a number of different time periods, such as five years, yearly or quarterly, the analysis should proceed with the time periods being similar. The maximum limit for a fiscal year has been set at, for example, thirteen months or fifty-four weeks, and minimum limit for a fiscal year has been set at, for example, eleven months or fifty weeks. Hence, for fundamental measures over a five year period, data for companies which exceed the maximum or minimum are excluded from the securities database. Small adjustments can be made to these maximum and minimum limits as desired.

At 1040, the second part of the fiscal realignment process is initiated. The second comparability process serves to, for example, convert all fiscal years and quarters into comparable calendar years and quarters to improve the accuracy

of the analysis. The analysis may make comparisons for, for example, a five year, annual, year-to-date or quarterly period.

Two steps are used to complete the second part of the
5 fiscal realignment process: (1) derive a calendar-based date of the fiscal year/quarter and (2) realign the fiscal period into comparable calendar periods.

Step 1: Deriving a calendar-based date of the fiscal year/quarter

10 If the last day of the fiscal period for a company ends between the first and fifteenth of a month, then the fiscal month is changed to the previous month. For example, if the last day of the fiscal period is April 10th, then the fiscal month is changed to March. If the last day of the fiscal
15 period is April 16th, however, then the fiscal month remains the same. If, as part of this calculation, the fiscal month is January, then the fiscal month and year are changed to the last month of the previous year. Thus, for instance, if the date is January 13, 2001, then the fiscal month and year are
20 changed to December 2000.

Step 2: Realigning the fiscal period into comparable calendar periods using the dates derived in Step 1:

For calculations of values covering a five year period, if the year-end fiscal month for a company ends between July
25 and December, the derived calendar year for that company is equivalent to the fiscal year, otherwise the calendar year is considered to be one year less than the fiscal year.

For calculation of values for quarter, annual, and year to date period, if the month for any fiscal quarter-end is January, April, July or October, then the fiscal quarter is changed to the previous calendar quarter. If the month for any fiscal quarter-end is other than one of these months, then the month remains the same subject to Step 1 described above. For example, a fiscal quarter ending on February 2nd becomes the derived fourth calendar quarter of the prior year (after both Steps 1 and 2 have been executed). In effect, for any given derived calendar quarter, all realigned companies should have at least two fiscal months coinciding with the three months of the derived calendar quarter.

At 1050, the calculations of the fundamental measures based on data accessed or extracted at 1020 are made. These fundamental measures, subject to changes or additions, are listed in Table 1.

Table 1	
Growth	Sales Growth Rate Earnings per Share (EPS) Growth Rate Common Equity per Share Growth Rate
Profitability	Return on Equity Profit Margins
Capital Structure	Equity:Assets Interest Coverage Cash Flow:Debt Service
Valuation	Price:Earnings Price:Book

Thus, once the financial data for particular companies have been through the realignment process as described above, the desired fundamental measures can be calculated using the aligned data. The financially realigned data can be stored and are referred to herein as the "Securities Database." At

1060, the Securities Database containing the values calculated at 1050 are ready to be applied as an input for the Fund System.

For the Fund System, for example as carried out by a
5 conventional computer system, the process begins with a commercially available database at 1070 containing information about the stocks in mutual funds. Examples of these databases are Financial Data Concept's fund stocks and AMG Data Service's fund stocks. The financial data accessed or
10 extracted at 1080 can include a number of data for the portfolio and for the stocks therein. Data for the portfolio could include, for example, the investment objective, the fund type (bond, money market, etc.), net asset values, and the holdings of the fund, etc. For each stock (e.g., holding) in
15 the fund, the data also includes the CUSIP of the stock, the number of shares, price, the market value, etc.

At 1090, weights are determined for all fund stocks with valid base values (e.g., companies that were not excluded by the fiscal realignment process and which have reported both
20 base values at the time of the computer run). Thus, for example, when calculating the quarter-to-quarter growth rate for EPS, if there are no numerical values for both quarters, no weight will be calculated, and the stock will not be included in the portfolio aggregate. The weight of each stock
25 is determined by, for example, dividing the value of the stock (with two valid base values) by the sum of the value of all similar stocks (with two valid base values). For example, in a mutual fund, the market value of the stock within the mutual fund is the quantity of shares multiplied by the share price.
30 This value is divided by the total market value of all stocks

in the mutual fund to obtain each security's weight. The sum of the weights always equals one.

At 1100, the fiscally realigned fundamental measures, e.g., the rates and ratios, for each stock in the fund are extracted from the Securities Database. These measures include the items noted in Table 1 as well as the base values used to calculate the fundamental measures.

At 1110, the Fund System aggregates the fiscally realigned data for all companies in the portfolio, thereby uniquely including all negative base numbers, to calculate weighted averages for the portfolio as described further below.

At 1120, the aggregated data representing an evaluation of the investment portfolio from the Fund System can be inputted (e.g., stored) into a funds database for subsequent retrieval and use.

As is known in the art, fundamental measures are valid in financial calculations only if both of the base values of the calculated rate/ratio are positive. For example, if the EPS declined from \$0.10 to \$0.09, the EPS growth rate is -10%; the base number \$0.09 and the base number \$0.10 are positive. However, if the EPS declined from \$0.10 to -\$0.01, the rate is undefined or not meaningful because the base number, -\$0.01, is a negative value. This is consistent with the standard practice used in financial analysis which is to exclude rates with negative base numbers.

For many fundamental measures, for a large number of securities, say as many as might be found in a mutual fund, there are likely to be a number of undefined rates and ratios

because of negative base values. Without the negative base number inclusion process according to an embodiment of the present invention, these undefined rates/ratios would not be included in any weighted average portfolio aggregate.

5 Excluding securities with such negative financial datum results in a misrepresentation of the portfolio aggregate. By excluding these negative variable inputs, aggregates are incorrectly skewed to positive results. As a result of this conventional practice, rates and ratios designed to foster
10 comparisons are actually misleading investors in these circumstances.

According to an embodiment of the present invention, an alternative calculation for input variables is provided which allows the inclusion of negative values for individual stocks
15 in an investment portfolio. This alternative calculation includes all securities in a portfolio; the portfolio aggregate, therefore, is more inclusive and thus more comparable. If these undefined rates and ratios are to be included in the weighted average aggregate, the negative base
20 number inclusion process must be applied. For example, rather than multiply each stock's weight by the calculated rate/ratio, the weight is first multiplied by the base values separately for all holdings in a portfolio. The weighted base values are then summed. The formula previously applied to the
25 base values is then applied to the two aggregated and weighted totals -- creating a portfolio aggregate which includes previously undefined rates/ratios. (This, of course, presumes that neither of the two weighted totals will be negative; if one of the totals is negative, then the aggregate must be
30 recalculated excluding rates/ratios with negative base values.)

Figure 2 illustrates an example of the exemplary method applied to a mutual fund portfolio in which a year to year analysis has been performed on the holdings of the mutual fund. This Figure 2, for illustrative purposes only, features quarterly statements filed through the first quarter of 2001.

As shown in Figure 2, the fiscal realignment process has been executed on each stock 2020 using the procedures described above so that only the companies having sufficient fiscal year data are included. For example, if any fiscal year for each company of stock 2020 is less than fifty-two weeks, no data from that company is included in the analysis because all of the fiscal cycles are between fifty to fifty-four weeks, or eleven to thirteen months, in length.

The second step of the fiscal realignment process aligns the fiscal periods for each company of stock 2020. For example, the respective fiscal quarter-end dates for companies are used to align quarterly periods so that the base values cover approximately the same three-month period or at least there are two months in common for the aligned quarters.

The exemplary mutual fund includes stocks: 2020a, 2020b, to 2020r. Associated with each stock 2020 are, for example, fields 2052-2061 containing: value 2052; weight 2053; QTR End 2054 (the date of the most recent quarter); base value₁ 2055 (QTR-t for EPS for the fiscally adjusted first quarter of 2000); base value₂ 2056 (QTR t-4 for quarterly EPS 1 year before, for the fiscally adjusted first quarter, 2000); growth rate 2057; weight times base value₁ 2058 and weight times base value₂ 2059. In this case, the fiscally aligned base values are being used to create an aggregate EPS. Any other base

values, such as those listed in Table 1, also could be used as desired.

Note that for example, Cisco Systems, Inc. 2020c, has a QTR End 2054c of January 27, 2001. Under the first
5 realignment process this date is realigned to the fourth quarter of 2000. Thus, base value₁ 2055c becomes not available, or n/a because only data for the fiscally adjusted first quarter of 2001 will be compared and therefore used in the calculation. This stock 2020c is excluded from the
10 aggregate because it does not have base values for both base value₁ 2055c and base value₂ 2056.

The weight 2053 for each stock 2020 is calculated by multiplying the market price by the share quantity for all stocks with valid values for both base value₁ 2055 and base
15 value₂ 2056 and dividing these products by the total market value of all stocks with base values for both base value₁ 2055 and base value₂ 2056. The sum of all weights times base value₁ 2058 becomes the aggregate numerator 2060; the sum of all weights times base value₂ 2059 becomes the aggregate
20 denominator 2062. The portfolio aggregate 2064 is the quotient. In this case the portfolio aggregate 2064 for EPS is -55.4%, that is the weighted average quarterly earnings of all these stocks has declined 55.4% from the first quarter of 2000 to the first quarter of 2001.

Also note that for example, Veritas Software Corp. 2020j
25 has negative base values for base value₁ 2055j and base value₂ 2056j. Nevertheless, the values are still factored into the aggregate numerator 2060 and the aggregate denominator 2062. Conventional analyses would have excluded Veritas Software
30 Corp. 2020j.

Still referencing Figure 2, financial rates are typically annual expressions; that is, they represent the rate expressed as a compound annual rate. According to an embodiment of the present invention, quarter versus quarter, year-to-date versus
 5 year-to-date and year-to-year rates are calculated by simple division because the time period is a year; rates for periods greater than or less than a year can be based on the equation $P(1+r)^{\tau} = F$,

where

10 P = the earliest base number

r = the rate of return, compounding annually

τ = the amount of time between the first and second
 base value, expressed in years

F = the latest base number

15 Financial ratios, such as an equity:assets ratio, refer to a point in time and are calculated by simple division.

Having calculated portfolio aggregates as described
 20 above, a measure of portfolio stability also can be generated to provide an investor with an indication of the continuity of investment holdings, which serve as the bases for the aggregates in the portfolio. According to an embodiment of the present invention, a stability calculation is made based
 25 on: (1) the number or value of the stocks eliminated (e.g., sold) from the investment portfolio and (2) the number or value of new stocks purchased in the portfolio. An example of this calculation applied to a mutual fund is shown in Table 2.

Table 2				
Mutual Fund, e.g., Putnam New Opportunity - A				
(1)	189	stocks with a starting value of	\$33,443,626,000.00	on 12/31/1999
(2)	33	positions reduced with a value of	\$1,362,148,118.16	on 06/30/2000
(3)	67	stocks sold out with a value of	\$7,060,969,940.68	on 12/31/1999
(4)	100	total sold or reduced		
(5)	89	positions increased with a value of	\$5,158,208,217.76	on 06/30/2000
(6)	56	new names with a value of	\$5,953,638,666.67	on 06/30/2000
(7)	145	total increased or added	\$11,111,846,884.43	
(8)	123	name changes (sold out & new names)		
(9)	178	stocks with an ending value of	\$36,131,469,000.00	on 06/30/2000

Mutual funds are, for example, required by the Securities and Exchange Commission to report their holdings every six months. The Fund System or the database accessed by the Funds System maintains a historic record of the holdings of mutual funds. Using the most recent holdings records and the previous holdings records for each fund, a stability calculation is made as follows:

Determine the initial number of stocks and their value at a beginning date (line 1).

Determine the number of stocks completely eliminated from the portfolio over, for example, a six month period and the value of the eliminated stocks as of the beginning date (line 3).

Determine the number of new stocks (e.g., new names) added to the portfolio over, for example, the six month period and the value of the new stocks as of the ending date (line 6).

Sum the number of stocks on lines 3 and 6, or sum the values on lines 3 and 6; and note the total(s) on line 8 (in this example the number of stocks completely sold from or newly added to the portfolio were totaled).

- 5 Divide the sum on line 8 (either number of issues or value) by its corresponding value (either number of issues or value) on line 1. This value is the stability ratio for the portfolio. A lower value represents a more stable portfolio.

10 The above described portfolio aggregates and stability ratio can be used to compare different portfolios.

Comparisons of portfolios can be for example, made using the following four-step process:

- 15 1. Group the portfolios based on similar investment objectives (e.g., growth, income, etc.). This initial grouping will be used for all aggregates in the following three steps. However, the stability ratio applies to all portfolios regardless of investment objective; hence steps 2 & 3 are performed on the entire population of portfolios, and thus it is not necessary to group the portfolio based on investment objective.
- 20 2. Rank portfolios for each aggregated fundamental value and for the stability ratio from highest to lowest
- 25 3. Group the ranked portfolios into a frequency distribution (e.g., quartiles, quintiles, deciles, etc.)

4. By selecting one or more aggregated fundamental value(s), to include the stability ratio, investors may assess what funds are in which segments of the combined frequency distributions.

5 For example, consider a comparison made between fourteen growth funds. Annual compound growth rates (ACGR) for sales and earnings per share are calculated for the five years ending 2000 using the method according to an embodiment of the present invention; these compound growth rates are shown in
10 Table 4 and 5 respectively and arranged in quartiles. It should be noted that other fundamental values besides the annual compound growth rates for sales and earnings per share can be used, such as the values identified in Table 1 above.

Table 4 - Sales from 12/31/95 to 12/31/00

Highest Quartile		ACGR%
1	PUTNAM NEW OPPTY; A	64.8
2	PUTNAM VISTA; A	50.6
3	MSDW AMER OPPTYS; A	31.9
4	FIDELITY BLUE CHIP GROW	24.9
Quartile # 3		
5	PUTNAM INVESTORS; A	24.9
6	CONTRAFUND PORTFOLIO	24.1
7	FIDELITY CONTRAFUND	23.0
8	T ROWE PRICE BL CHIP; ADV	22.9
Quartile # 2		
9	VANGUARD GROWTH INDX; INS	21.9
10	LEGG MASON VALUE TR; NAV	21.6
11	FIDELITY MAGELLAN FUND	21.1
Lowest Quartile		
12	CREF STOCK ACCOUNT	21.0
13	AIM:VALUE; A	15.9
14	DAVIS NY VENTURE; A	14.9

Table 5 - EPS from 12/31/95 to 12/31/9500

Highest Quartile		ACGR%
1	PUTNAM VISTA; A	50.6
2	AIM:VALUE; A	29.8
3	DAVIS NY VENTURE; A	26.1
4	LEGG MASON VALUE TR; NAV	26.0
Quartile # 3		
5	FIDELITY CONTRAFUND	25.9
6	CONTRAFUND PORTFOLIO	25.8
7	T ROWE PRICE BL CHIP; ADV	19.8
8	FIDELITY MAGELLAN FUND	18.7
Quartile # 2		
9	MSDW AMER OPPTYS; A	17.9
10	FIDELITY BLUE CHIP GROW	16.4
11	PUTNAM INVESTORS; A	15.5
Lowest Quartile		
12	VANGUARD GROWTH INDX; INS	15.4
13	CREF STOCK ACCOUNT	12.3
14	PUTNAM NEW OPPTY; A	-4.9

Once the ACGRs are computed, the fourteen growth funds are ranked from highest to lowest and then divided into a frequency distribution such as quartiles, as described in step 3.

5 According to an embodiment of the present invention, the portfolios (e.g., funds) can be categorized into four combinations depending on which quartile they belong. For example, possible combinations are: high sales and high earnings; high sales and low earnings; low sales and high
10 earnings; and low sales and low earnings.

Also according to an embodiment of the present invention, the stability ratios can be calculated for each of the funds. The portfolios can then be ranked from highest to lowest and then divided into quartiles based on their stability ratios.
15 For purposes of this example, the calculation of the stability ratio is not shown for each fund but would be carried out as described above. Combining the stability ratio and the results shown in Tables 4 and 5 yields Table 6, which presents a multivariate analysis of the fourteen mutual funds.

Table 6 - Sales / EPS ACGR & Last Six Months of Stability

			STABILITY RATIO				TOTAL
			HIGHEST	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 2	LEAST	
			19 - 53%	58 - 72%	73 - 96%	98 - 165%	
	5 Year ACGR%						
	Sales	EPS					
Growth Funds							
High Sales / High Earnings	30	31	--	1	1	2	4
High Sales / Low Earnings	37	11	--	2	1	1	4
Low Sales / High Earnings	18	25	3	--	1	--	4
Low Sales / Low Earnings	22	14	1	1	--	--	2
Total Number of Funds							14

For example, in Table 6, among the fourteen growth funds, there are four funds that are classified as highest in both sales and earnings growth. In this example, the top two quartiles of Tables 4 and 5 make up what constitutes "high" for sales and earnings respectively. Similarly, "low" sales and growth values are made up of the two lower quartiles. For a fund to be categorized in the "high sales / high earnings" category, it must be in the intersection of high sales and high earnings. For example, the following funds fall into the category of high earnings and high sales simultaneously: Putnam Vista; Contrafund Portfolio; Fidelity Contrafund; and T Rowe Price Bl Chip.

On average, the four funds in this category have holdings with sales that grew at an average of thirty per cent per annum compound and earnings at an average of thirty-one per cent per annum. The stability ratio is divided into four quartiles, or levels, based on their percentage of turnover. In the example shown in Figure 6, the stability ratio levels are shown as percentage ranges. For example, if a portfolio has a hundred holdings and during the period of evaluation

twenty stocks were added and twenty stocks were sold, then the percentage equals $((20 + 20) \div 100)$ which is 0.4 or 40%. One of the four funds is in the upper-middle quartile of stability (LEVEL 3); one is in the lower-middle quartile of stability (LEVEL 2); two are in the lowest quartile (LEVEL 1).

Therefore, it can be concluded that the fund in the second quartile of stability (Level 3) (represented by the bolded figure) has the best records for earnings and sales growth -- along with some measure of stability in the holdings of the fund.

Figure 3 illustrates a system for evaluating an investment portfolio according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention as described above. The exemplary system includes, for example, a computer system 3000, a fund database 3500 and a stock database 3600. The computer system 3000, could be, for example, a microprocessor based server such as SUN WORKSTATION or WINDOWS NT server or other computer system having suitable processing power and storage. Computer system 3000 includes, for example, a central processing unit 3010, random access memory 3020, input/output device(s) 3030 and display 3040 coupled via a conventional bus 3050. Also coupled to bus 3050 is a storage device 3060 such as a hard disk drive.

Memory 3020 could include, for example, various modules necessary to carry out the method according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention as described above. Examples of modules stored in memory 3020 are executable software code to implement the functions of a Fund System 3022 and a Stock System 3026. Alternatively, the Fund System 3022 and Stock System 3026 can be implemented in separate computer

systems that are suitably connected. The output of Fund System 3022 (e.g., the aggregated portfolio measurement value using fiscally realigned data and negative base number inclusions) can be stored, for example, in an output database 3024. The output of Stock System 3026 is, for example, data that have been processed through the fiscal realignment process and can be stored in aligned stock database 3028 for use by the Fund System 3022.

Fund database 3500 can be, for example, any database that contains fund information, e.g., the holdings of a mutual fund or investment portfolio and the CUSIP numbers for the holdings and is accessed by the Fund System 3022 through a suitable communications link. Likewise, stock database 3600 can be any database that provides the underlying financial data for publicly or privately held companies and is accessed by the Stock System 3026 through a suitable communications link. Both the fund database 3500 and the stock database 3600 can be implemented by commercial content providers of these data as is known in the art.

A user 3800 can, for example, access the computer system 3000 through a dedicated communications link such as T1 or T3 or via a public network such as the Internet. If, for example, the user 3800 would like to compare certain investment portfolios, the user would submit the request to the computer system 3000 by providing the portfolios to be compared and the type of fundamental financial data to be used for the comparison. The computer system 3020 can provide the requested information in real time or have the requested information processed ahead of time and retrieved from a storage device.

Additional advantages and modifications will readily occur to those skilled in the art. Therefore, the present invention in its broader aspects is not limited to the specific details and representative devices shown and
5 described herein. Accordingly, various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit or scope of the general inventive concept as defined by the appended claims.